Previous references.

Pdg 67 of 97-98.

1901-02

(Pdg.)

File No. 26.

Serial Nos. 1-6

Later references.

P:35

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-6

Major ~~Part B.~~ for April 02.

SUBJECT.

Earning of good Conduct marks by the prisoners in lock-ups.

Bnv. 1061/J106 1105/J 20-7-01.

From the I. G. of Prisons.

Requests to be informed whether Govt will accord sanction to prisoners detained in lock-ups, earning good Conduct marks for the period of their sentences which they have spent in the lock-ups and forwards letter No. 100 dated 16th July 01. from the Supdt. Central jail.

G. R. S. 58

2. 6113/J491 10-10-01.

To
The I. G. of Prisons.

States that Section 54 of the Prisoners Act of 1870, and Section 401 of the Code of the Criminal procedure are prescribed to prisoners of all classes with effect from 1st July 99, and that the explanation of the officer in Charge of the Lock-ups at Chickkmagalur as to why no record has been made of remission earned by the Convicts, should be obtained and submitted

3. Dno. 5915/J651 1501/J 5-11-01.

From
The I. G. of Prisons.

Requests to return the original letter No 100 dated 20-7-01 from the Supdt. C. jail, Bangalore.

4. 7681/J649. 18-11-01.

To The I. G. of Prisons.
Returns the above.

5. Dno. 6926/J806. 1574/J 28-11-01.

From The I. G. of Prs
Furnishes with the explanation called for in Al. 2.

6. 16684-5/J1469 12-4-02

To
The Compiler
The I. G. of Prs.

States that all the references to the Jails and the Supdts. thereof, shall as far as may be and have as regards the award of special marks, be deemed to apply also to Lock-ups and the officers in Charge thereof respectively

Indexed
V Rangaswami.

1)

Is there anything in the Rules to show that they were intended only to apply to prisoners recently committed into the Jails? If not, put up a draft order stating that the Rules are intended to apply to prisoners of all classes, wherever confined in the Jail and that the Officer in charge of the Lock-up at Chittagong should be obtained as to the record of previous Convictions

(No. 22)

This letter was also sent to the 3rd Corner along with it.

Subd

The question is who is to award the marks as under the revised rule 14 the Supdts of Jails are made personally responsible for the award of marks by whomsoever made. A draft is subt for appl

SW 21/9/10

There is no doubt, I think, on this point. Superintendent does not necessarily

MB

2

mean the Superintendent of a Central Jail it is also applicable to the Superintendent of a District Jail, he as District Lock-up Officer. Hence, put up a draft order as already directed

21-9-01.

Draft submitted for approval

20-9-01

Draft passed & issued

Subd. —

In reply the Inspector General has forwarded a copy of the explanation of Dist. Lock-up Officer of Chickmagalur. He states that he was not aware that the New Mark Rules applied to Lock-ups also. The Inspector General further adds that it has not been understood that Govt wished the award of marks in any places of incarceration but Central & Dist Jails. He says that in addition to

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

2)

3/

the fact that the Central and District jails only are mentioned there is also the other fact that the rule clearly lays down the officers by whom the awards are to be made and that lock-up officers are not included among them We therefore suggest that the word "Lockups" may be added after the word "jails" in the Notification of the 17th April 1899.

The Inspector General does not clearly state in what ~~point~~ portion of the rules the ~~alteration~~ amendment should be made.

Subd for orders.

SN
13-12-01.

A No 24.

The Notifn of 11737-J 1457 dy 17th April 1899 applies in terms to "all classes of prisoners in Mysore." Rule 12 of the Mark Rules published thereunder draws a distinction between "a District Jail" and "a Central Jail as regards award of marks

I have myself no doubt that by "a Central Jail" for the present ~~purposes~~ the three Divisional Jails of Bangalore, Mysore, and Shimoga; and by "a District Jail" any of the Jails (commonly called Lock-ups) at District-Head-Quarters "Jail" is a general term and a District Lock-up is as much a Jail as the Central Jail at Bangalore. The Superintendent of a District Jail is the District Lock-up officer.

4)

That the above view is the correct one will further appear from the fact that Rule 12 (Ente above) as well as the rest of most Rules was borrowed from the Madras Jail Rules. (Vide Rule 393, at p. 66).

~~The definition of a District~~

The classification & definition of Jails given at pp. 2 and 3 of the Madras Jail Code will show that a District Jail in Madras corresponds to a District Lock-up in Mysore

It seems to me therefore that there is no necessity for altering the notification as suggested by the Inspector General

57

and that it is quite unnecessary if the D. Ft. will issue, as he proposes to do, a ~~Not~~ circular to all Dt Magts that the Rules in question apply to Lock-ups as well as the "Gaols" so called —

13-12-01

Rule 2 lays down that awards shd be awarded only to those who are under a sentence of 1 years impt or upwards. Such men I believe are not to be kept in lockups. Does not this virtually render the Mark rules inapplicable to such places?

7th/12/1901

Subt with references.
SW
8/1/02.

X Under the provisions of Rule 44 of the Lock-up Rules, persons sentenced to more than 30 days' impt may be kept in the Lock-ups till disposal of their appeal or

all their appeal time expires (Vide p. 169 of the Jail Manual). To such prisoners the Mark Rules will apply if the period of their sentence is not below 1 year. It is in connection with such prisoners that the present reference has been made (Vide Supdt's letter No. 100 dt 16-7-01)

8.1.02.

(In reconsideration,
I am disposed to think
that the Mark Rules
were intended to apply
to Jails only & not lock-
ups. The Jail Manual
makes a clear distinc-
tion between lockups
& Jails - laying down
separate & simpler rules
for the former. The
distinction is also well
understood & observed
in practice. Only the
Bangalore Jail is a
Central Jail & those
of Shimoga & Mysore
Dists Jails in Mysore.

6)

GOVERNMENT PRESS MYSORE

7)

All the others are lockups only.

The words in the Note about Mark rules ~~as set~~ 'applicable to all classes of prisoners in Mysore' led us to take the view embodied in the G.O. No. 6113/2491 d. 10.10.1900 As prisoners likely to earn marks can remain lockups only for short periods exceptionally, I believe there is nothing lost by leaving them out of Consideration.

If this is the view of Govt, we shall have to modify the last order Otherwise, an explanatory Clause may be added to rule 14, that in Cases in which prisoners entitled to the benefit of

6

these rules under ~~the~~ Rule 2, are detained in any lockup for any reason, under the Lockup Rules, ~~the~~ award of Marks shall be made by the Officer in charge of such lockups in accordance with the General or Special order of the Major of the Dist.

Submitted to the 3rd Councillor.

8/1/1902

8/1/02 (55)

In my mind it is clear that the Dist Jails may detain persons for any reason, subsequently to the Lockup Rules Rule 4.5 of the Lockup Rules the Dist Magt in the Control

Draft as suggested please.

17/1

17/1/02

Draft sent 23/1/02

and subordinate Magts to remove all ambiguity from the Municipal Jails not under the Dist Magt in the case of "Lockups" or similar enactments after the recent Supplementary Memorandum of the Municipal Rules.

16/1/02

9

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Memo.

Govt. are pleased to direct that, in the Rules regarding the earning of remission and forfeiture issued under Memo No 11737-J/45 of the 17th April 1899, all references to District Jails and the Superintendents thereof shall, as far as may be deemed fit, apply also to Local Jails and the Officers in charge thereof respectively

23-1-02.

To The Commissioner of Police
Bangalore
The Superintendent Central Prison
Bangalore.

"Same as regards the award of special marks"

9)

The references to "Superintendent" sometimes occur in the singular, sometimes in the plural, in the Rules; in a few places the words "of a District Jail" occur after "Superintendent," & so forth. It is difficult not possible (& indeed one finds expressions of this nature throughout. Hence I have exercised to put up a simple notice directing that all references to Superintendents shall be deemed to apply also to the Officers in charge of Lock-ups.

I beg also to add that, though District Magistrates are the final controlling authorities, the actual work of awarding marks has to be done by the Lock-up Officer. The Lock-up Rules always refer to the Officer in charge as being responsible for the performance of the duties specified. (Compare, e.g., Rules 40, 41, 37, 43 etc, at pp. 155-6, 157 of the Jail Manual).

23-1-02

Submitted to the 3rd Councillor

28/1

30/01 (66) Noted as instructed. An announcement to be made accordingly. 29/1

1/2

11

Modification of Several Rules

Submitted to the Dr.

Warr: [signature]

4/2/1902

4126

I would advise that the views of the Hon'ble Councillor

Undr

15.III.02

be taken on the

Submitted to the 2nd Councillor

[signature]

18/3

Fin 18/3

Read 18-3-02

[signature]

Please put up the Madras Jail Code

[signature]

19/3/02

Compl- [signature] 20/3

Submitted w/ Copy

No copy of the Madras Jail Code is available in the Legislative Dept. The Madras Jail Act (Act No. VI of 1869 with the amending Act. No. VII of 1882 is put up. As there is nothing about marks & remissions in it, shall I send for that Code?

Fin 20/3/02

10)

There can certainly be nothing in it to the disadvantage of the convicts

12

To the 2nd Councillor -

20/3

Recd 21/3/02

Is a copy of the Madras Court Fee Rules not available in the Judicial Branch of your office? I distinctly remember that it was more than once placed before me by the office in connection with the amendment of your Rules. Did we receive any more Rules in 1899 without reference to the Madras Rules on which they were to be based? Mr Chandrasekhara Iyer refers to certain rules in the Madras Rules at page 4 of his note and I want to see what they are. I don't think he had a book of his own. Please ask the Judl Branch whether there is none for the moment. C
22/3/02.

B

I have seen the book referred to, I remember along with this. The Office will put up. Ahobala Sastri or Q. Nar. Ram must know. HJ

25/3

26/3/02

A copy of the Madras jail Rules - is submitted. Handle

Submitted to Q 27/3
Rec 26/3/02

The 2nd Councillor.

HJ

Rec'd 29-3-02.

I agree with the Secretary and the 3rd Councillor that our "District Head Quarters Lockups" are not "District Jails" within the meaning of these or any Rules.

We have a Central Jail at Bangalore and two District Jails one at Mysore and the other at Shimoga. All the other places of incarceration both

at District Headquar-
-ters and elsewhere
an "Jackubs" cor-
-responding to "Sub-
-ordinary Jails" in
Madras - This however
does not affect the
question of the eligibi-
-lity of convicted
Prisoners for earning
marks - Irrespective
of their place of
confinement - and
of the official designa-
-tion of the officer
in charge, all Pri-
-soners of the des-
-cription mentioned
in Rule 2 are en-
-titled to earn
marks because
-ficul- remission
There is however a
distinction - and a
very important one -
between "ordinary
marks" and "special
marks" entitled to
can only be given
under exceptional
circumstances and

15

for special remissions either by the Jail or by a Central Jail or by the Inspector General of Prisons (Vide Rules 12 & 14). The draft Notification prepared by the Secretariat and circulated by the 3 Jr Sellers all right except that it is desirable that the words "and Lane as regards the grant of special marks" should be inserted after "may be" and before "allowed to ~~abolish~~ be allowed to abolish ----

Telegraphing

3/4/02

Secy

Please submit this to the Dewan — C.

3/4/02

Typed copy of the above is put up.
Cdl

Subject:- Award of marks to prisoners.

.....

I agree with the Secretary and the 3rd Councillor that our "District Head Quarter Lockups" are not "District Jails" within the meaning of these or any other Rules. We have a Central Jail at Bangalore and two District Jails one at Mysore and the other at Shimoga. All the other places of incarceration both at District Head Quarters and elsewhere are "Lockups" corresponding to "Subsidiary Jails" in Madras. This however does not affect the question of the eligibility of convicted prisoners for earning marks. Irrespective of their place of confinement and of the official designation of the officer in charge, all prisoners of the description mentioned in Rule 2 are entitled to earn marks and consequent remission. There is however a distiction and a very important one- between "ordinary marks" and "Special marks" which latter can only be given under exceptional circumstances and for special services by the Suptt. of a Central or District Jail or by the Inspector General of Prisons (vide Rules 12&14). The draft Notification prepared by the Secretariat and approved by the 3rd Councillor seems

17

hs all right except that it is
irable that the words "and save
regards the grant of special marks"
ould be inserted after "may be " and
efore "be ~~declined~~ deemed to apply .....".

"Signed" C.Sreenivasiengar

3.4.02

Secretary.

Please submit this to the

Dewan.

"Intld" C.S.

3.4.02.

Submitted again to the
Dewan. (with Corrected draft)
3/4/1902

Recd
5.4.02
4036

re draft
sent
5.IV.02

7/4/02
(19)

OFFICE OF THE INSPR. GENL OF PRISONS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.
Date 189
1061
FROM

No. 1106

To

The Secy: to Govt
Genl & Reve Depatt.

SECTION Pol J
FILE No. 26 of 01-02
SERIAL No. 1

Dated 20th July 1901.
Despatched
Received
General No. 1105
No. J

Enclosure No. 1.

Requests to be informed whether Govt will accord sanction to prisoners detained in Lock Ups, earning good conduct marks for the period of their sentences which they may have spent in the Lock Ups.

24/7/01

by.
23-7-01

Please put up the file relating to the remarks

From

The Inspector General of Prisons

in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore.

Dated 20th July 1901.

No. 1105/J Encl: 1.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith in original (to be obligingly returned when no longer required) letter No.100 dated 16th Instant, from the Superintendent, Central Jail, Bangalore, and to request that you will be so good as to inform me whether Government will accord sanction to prisoners detained in Lockups earning good conduct marks for the period of their sentences which they may have spent in the Lockup's.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M.D.,

Major, I.M.S.,

Offg: Inspector General of Prisons.

Letter No: 100 dated the 16th July 1901
from the Superintendent Central jail
Bangalore to the Inspector General
of Prisons in Mysore

I have the honor to state that
under the Mark Rules published under
Govt Notification No 11788/1458 dated 18th
April 1899 every convict whose substantive
substantive sentence or aggregate of sub-
stantive sentences amounts to one year
or upwards is entitled to earn remission
from the 1st day of the Calendar month
following that in the course of which
he is sentenced (vide rule 5).

In the case of direct admissions
into this jail this rule is given effect to
But in the case of convicts sent to this
jail from lockups where they are generally

Kept until the disposal of their appeals or appeal time and are thus not sent to this gaol often until after their expiry of several months. I find the rule is not observed. No such prisoner has ever brought to this gail any record of remission earned from which I infer that marks are not awarded in the lockups - a practice for which I do not see any justification.

It is due to such convicts that the matter should be represented to you. Yesterday I received 4 convicts from the Glickmaghan lockup with terms of 1 year and upwards and none of them has any record of remission earned although the dates of sentences are 20-3-01, 20-3-01 29-3-01 & 17-5-01 respectively

Office Copy

Pdg

FILE No. 260 of 01-02

SERIAL No. 2.

Read:- 2

Letter No. 1105/J dated the 20th July 1901, from the Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore.

(Print in extenso with enclosure).

Order thereon No. 6113/J1491 dated 10/10/01

Govt observe that the Rules published under Notification No.* 11788/J1458 (11 22) dated the 18th April 1899 are intended to apply to prisoners of all classes whereever confined

2. The explanation of the Officer in charge of the Lockup at

* Pl. 12 pdg 67 of 97-98

at Chickmaglur, shown as to
has been made
why no record of remission
of the
earned by the convicts referred
to by the Superintendent
should be obtained and
submitted as early as
possible

Secretary

30/9/01

To The I.G. of Prisons with Gr.

SN
30/9/01

Authorities in Nos
Asst Supdt 10-10-01
Supdt
10/10/01

£651.

3

OFFICE OF THE
5915
189 7.XI.01
DEWAN OF MYSORE

6

SECRETARIAT PAPERS.

FROM

Lt Col: J. Smyth Dn. D. I. Dn. S.
Offg. Insp. Genl of Prisons
in Mysore.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr. Dn. A. Dn. L.
Secy: to Govt.
Genl & Revl Depttts

Dated 5th November 1901.

Despatched

Received

General No. 1501
No. J

Enclosure No.

SECTION PDJ

FILE No. 26 of 01-02

SERIAL No. 3.

Invites his attention to this Office Dno: 1105 dt. 20th July 1901 and requests to return the original letter Dno: 100 dt. 16th July of the Supdt B. C. J., re: the award of marks to certain prisoners, which was forwarded with the letter under reference.

Jrnl
7.11.01

H/
with 7 Nos 6 of 97-98
8.11.01

6

From

Lt -Colonel J.Smyth M.D., I.M.S.,

Offg: Inspector General of Prisons

in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

H.V.Nanjundayya Esqr: M.A. M.L.

Secretary to the Government of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore.

Dated 5th November 1901.

No. 1501/J

Sir,

I have the honor to invite the favor of your attention to this Office No.1105/J dated 20th July 1901 and to request that you will be so good as to return to this Office for record, original letter No.109 dated 15th July 1901 from the Superintendent Bangalore Central Jail, which was forwarded with the letter under reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Dachowsi

Personal Assistant

For Offg: Inspector General of Prisons.

T.C.G.

7

4

S[illegible]	1902
FILE N	26 of 01-02
SER[illegible]	4

To

The I.G. of Prisons in Mysore

No. Z 6811 / J 649 Sec 1

Date: 18th November 1902

His letter No. 1501/J dated the 5th November 1901.

As requested in the above, I have the honor to return herewith the original letter No 100 dated the 16th July 1901 from the Supdt Bangalore Central Jail, for No. 1105-J -warded with his letter dated the 20th idem.

B.O.

for Secy
15/11/02

SN
14/11/02

From

Doctr A. S. Fernandes

Officer in Charge of the district Lock-up

Chikmaglur

To.

H. H. Sparkes Esqre

District Magistrate

Kadur. Chikmaglur.

Dated
Despatched } 20th November 1901-

General No. 46

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No 502 of the 16th instant, forwarding No. 15-26/J dated 14th idem from the Officiating Inspector General of Prisons, I have the honor to state in reply

1st That no order was received directing the discontinuance of the award of marks to Convicts in this Lock-up from May 1899.

2nd That no Copy of the new mark Rules of April 1899 was received in this Office from the District Magistrate.

I may add that the discontinuance was

was due as already explained to my having considered that the mark Rules applied only to a "Jail" and not to a "Lock-up"

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant.

/Sgd/ A.S. Fernandes
Officer in Charge of the
District Lock-up Chilmaghur

"Copy"

Personal Assistant
for Offg Inspector General of Prisons

From.

Doctr. A. S. Fernandes

Officer in Charge of the District Lock-up

Chikmaglur

To. H. H Sparkes Esqr

District Magistrate

Chikmaglur

Dated 4-11-1901.

Despatched.

Received.

General No 34

Enclosure No

His endorsement No 418 dated 28th.

October 1901.

With reference to the above has the honor to state that a record of marks earned by Convicts was maintained so long as the Chikmaglur Lock-up was a Jail, ie up to May 1899 and the register was discontinued when it ceased to be a Jail and became a Lock-up.

2. No order from the Inspector General of Prisons appears to have been received here

here directing the application of the Mark Rules to Prisoners detained in the District Lock-up and up to date no objection appears to have been taken to the absence of the record of mark referred.

3 Undersigned was not aware that the Mark Rules applied to Lock-ups also and he would beg to add that the Inspector General of Prisons in his letter No. 1105-J dated 20th July 1901 to Government appears to have taken the same view.

4 The instructions contained in Government order No. 6113-J 491 of 10th October 1901 will be duly carried out in future.

/ Signed / A.S. Fernandes
Officer in Charge of the
Dist. Lock-up. Chilmaqlur

No. 479. Dated 9-11-1901.

Forwarded to the Inspector General of Prisons

/ Signed / H.H. Sparkes
District Magistrate
Kadur

P. J. O.

12

From:
Lt. Col. J. Smythe. M.D. I.M.S.
Offg: Inspector General of Prisons

To 4.4 Sparkes Esqre.
District Magistrate, Kadur
Chikmagalur.

Dated 14th November 1902.

General No 15526/J.

His memo no. 479 dated 9th instant, giving Cover to docket-no 34 of the 4th Idem from the District Lock-up Officer Chikmagalur explaining his reasons for not keeping the record of marks earned by certain Convicts &c.

Has the honor to observe that in para 1 of the Lock-up Officers' docket under reference, he states that "a record of marks earned by Convicts was maintained so long as the Chikmagalur Lock-up was a jail, i.e, up to May 1899, and the register was discontinued when it ceased to be a jail and became a Lock-up" and also in para 2 of the said docket he states that "no order from the Inspector

Inspector General of Prisons appears to have been received here directing the application of the Mark Rules to prisoners detained in the District Lock-up. Sea

Under the above circumstances the District Magistrate is requested to kindly ask the District Lock-up Officer to quote reference of this Office directing the discontinuance of the award of Marks to Convicts detained in the Lock-ups from May 1899. He may also be requested to state whether a Copy of the new Mark Rules sanctioned in Government Proceedings No. 11737 / 1457 dated 17th. April 99 spare Copies of which were forwarded to all District Magistrates for information and guidance with this Office Circular memo No 850-57 dated 13th. May 1899 was not furnished to his Lock-up.

Reply from the District Lock-up Officer to the above questions may be obtained and forwarded to this Office at a very Early date as the reply to Government on the Subject is long delayed in this Office

/Signed/ D. A. Clarke
Personal Assistant
For Offg: Inspector Genl. of Prisons

14

From

Lt. Col. John Smyth M.P; I.M.S,

Offg: Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore.

5

To

W.V.Ranjundayya Esq. B.A, B.L,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 28th November 1901

No. 1574-J

Sir,

SECTION P.D.

FILE No. 26 of 01-02

SERIAL No. 5

With reference to Government Order No. 6113/J.191 dated 10th October 1901, directing the Lock-up Officer Chickmagalur to submit his explanation &c I have the honor to submit herewith copy of that Officer's explanation.

2. I may add that in this office, it has not been understood that Government wished the award of marks to be made in any places of incarceration but central and District Jails. I have carefully perused the rules published under Govt: Notification No. 11138/J.1456 dated 18th April 99 and confess that they convey to me only the idea of award of marks being made in central and District Jails.-In addition to the fact that central and districts Jails only are mentioned is the other fact that the rule lay down clearly the officers by whom the awards shall be made and lock-up Officers are not included amongst them.

3. In face therefore of this possibility of misconstruing the full scope of the intention of Government, I would suggest that in the notification the words " and Lock-ups " be added after the word " Jails ". Meanwhile a circular to the effect that the rules under the above notification are applicable to Lock-ups as well as Jails, will be sent to all District Magistrates for

distribution

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

MGOPB—3-3-01—50 reams.

distribution to their Lock-up officers.

15

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Personal Assistant

For Offg: Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore

1900-01

From 6926 J 806
2-XII-01.

Lt. Col. J. Smyth Re. D.I.Ms
Offg: Inspector General of Prisons

To. H.V. Nanjundayya Esq. B.A. B.L.
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore. General & Revenue
Department
Bangalore

Dated 28th November 01-

Despatched-29th — " —

Genl. No 1574/J En (2)

With reference to Govern-
-ment Proceedings No. 6113/J 491
dated 10th October 1901 submit-
the Explanation of the Lock-up
Officer of Chikmagalur re
the non-keeping of the record
of marks Earned by Certain
Convicts in the said Lock-
-up Lear

Jail
30 11 01
H. Worth 7/80 60/97-98
31201

No 166845 dy 12.4.02
J 1469

6

Notification
(as amended by
the 2nd Commissioner

SECTION PDG
FILE No. 24 of 01-02
SERIAL No.

Government are pleased
to direct that in the
Rules regarding the
earning of remissions
and gratuities issued
under Notification No
X-11737 - J 1457 of the
17th April 1895 all
references to District
Jails and the Superintendents
thereof shall as far
as may be, and save
as regards the award
of special marks,
be deemed to apply also
to Lock-ups and the
Officers in charge thereof
respectively.

Seen
4/4/02

To The Controller Lyons Gazette
No I. for publication in Part III
The I. G. Prisons in his D.O.

45-23
Memo 3rd
Commissioner
Circular
5 IV. 02

Submitted to
3rd Commissioner
7/4

7/4